

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,146	01/03/2002	Peter Braun	00-733	9399	
75	590 09/11/2003				
George A. Coury BACHMAN & LaPOINTE, P.C. Suite 1201			EXAMINER		
			LOPEZ, CARLOS N		
900 Chapel Street New Haven, CT 06510-2802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	1731	
			DATE MAILED: 09/11/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/040,146	BRAUN, PETER				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carlos Lopez	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/13	/03					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>13 June 2003</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) \square Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1) Claims 1-4 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (a) and (e) as being anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Potter (US 6273094). Potter discloses an extinguishing cigarette holder tube (11). The cigarette extinguisher includes a tube having an open end and a closed end (14). The tube includes a reduced diameter portion (12) between the open end and closed end (14). Due to the slidding fit between the cigarette and reduced diameter portion 12 the oxygen within the closed off tubular member (11) is quickly consumed such that the

Art Unit: 1731

lighted end of the cigarette is extinguished (Column 3, line 60-67). Thus it is inherent or at the least obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, by preventing oxygen from entering the tube 11, the reduced inside diameter (12) sealingly engages the cigarette in order to extinguish it.

As for claim 2, the top of the reduced diameter portion (12) and closed end (14) provide an extinguishing area.

As for claim 3 and 7, the reduced inside diameter portion (12) provides for the closed end and open end of tube (11) to have a larger diameter.

As for claim 4, the reduced diameter portion 12 includes a plurality of axially spaced reduced diameter portions, the portions occurring as one moves down the tapered region.

2) Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Musetti (US 4,809,715). Musetti discloses an extinguishing cigarette holder tube (10). The cigarette extinguisher includes a tube having an open end (12) and a closed end (30). The inside diameter of the tube includes a plurality of axially spaced reduced diameter portions (36) between the open end (12) and closed end (30). The plurality of reduced diameter portions engages the cigarette to extinguish it quickly (Column 2, line 11). In the abstract it is noted that one end of the tube is sealed in order to deplete the oxygen level in the tube. Thus it is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, that in order to extinguish the cigarette, the insertion of the cigarette would create a sealed enclosure preventing oxygen from entering the tube in order to extinguish the lit end of the cigarette.

Art Unit: 1731

Over Potter (US 6273094) as applied to claims 1-3 above, in view of Hicks (US 6463936). Potter is silent disclosing the open end of the tube having a short length side and a long length side. However, Hick discloses a cigarette extinguisher having an open end (58) comprising a short length and long length. At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have provided Potter's extinguisher with an open end (58) comprising a short length and long length as taught by Hicks in order to facilitates the insertion and removal of a cigarette.

As for claim 8, the reduced diameter portion may be integrally formed with the tube as taught by Hicks (Col. 3, lines 17-20).

As for claim 9, the reduced diameter portion may be closer to the closed end as shown by Hick in Fig. 1.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/13/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to the Anderson reference has been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In regards to Applicant's argument that Musetti fails to disclose a circumferential band, it is noted that claim 4 which is currently rejected over Musetti fails to disclose a circumferential band. In regards to applicant's argument that Musetti fails to provide a sealing structure, applicant is referred to paragraph 2 above.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1731

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos Lopez whose telephone number is (703) 605-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (703) 308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 305-3599 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1731

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0651.

PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

C.L September 6, 2003